Adapting single-step GBLUP for complex data, models, and sequence information Progress report -2023





UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

College of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences

Goals

- Develop better ssGBLUP predictive models for large data sets with genotyped animals that have incomplete pedigrees and may be from different breeds
 - Single breed
 - Multiple breeds
 - Multiple breeds and crossbreds
- Establish a robust approximation of individual theoretical accuracy for very large genotyped populations using the APY algorithm
 - Developed and implemented
- Enable computations of p-values in ssGBLUP to select sequence variants for genomic prediction in very large genotyped populations
 - Developed and implemented
 - Features of GWAS in populations with small effective population size



Single-step GBLUP

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{H}^{-1} \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_u^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{u}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{W}'\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Single-step GBLUP (ssGBLUP)

Aguilar et al. (2010) Christensen and Lund (2010)



Segments

Theory of junctions Fisher (1949) $E(Me) = 4N_eL$ Stam (1980) Points where the founder chromosome of origin changes

Me – Independent chromosome segments N_e – Effective population size L – Length of genome in Morgans





About 10-15k segments in cattle, 5 k in pigs and chickens

Cuppen (2005)

Misztal (2016), Pocrnic et al., (2016)

GEORGIA Algorithm for Proven and Young (APY)

• Realized relationship matrix in ssGBLUP

 $\mathbf{H}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$

Dense
$$\rightarrow$$
 $u_i | u_1 + u_2 + u_3, ..., u_{i-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{ij} u_j + \varepsilon_i$

Sparse
$$\rightarrow$$
 $u_i | u_{c1} + u_{c2} + u_{c3}, \dots, u_{ci} = \sum_{j=1}^{c} p_{ij} u_j + \varepsilon_i$

Misztal et al. (2014) Fragomeni et al. (2015) Lourenco et al. (2015)

Condition on a set of features or animals = CORE animals

✓
G⁻¹_{APY}

 G^{-1}

 $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{APY}}^{-1}$

- $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{APY}}^{-1}$ sparse
- Efficient computations

Masuda et al. (2016)

GEORGIA Multiple breed evaluation of dairy data

_	Phenotypes		Animals	
Breed	Ν	Cows	Genotypes	Total
All	45M	19.4M	3.9M	29.5M
Ayrshire	116k	47k	9.2k	94k
Brown Swiss	328k	138k	47k	292k
Guernsey	129k	58k	5k	100k
Holstein	40.3M	17.5M	3.4M	26.6M
Jersey	4.1M	1.7M	427k	2.5M

GEORGIA SSGBLUP with 4 M genotyped animals

Core animals across breeds

AY = 32 (9.2k)
BS = 182 (47k)
GU = 17 (5k)
HO = 13k (3.4M)
JE = 1.7k (427k)

ALL = 15k core



Predictability for cows - Protein

GEORGIA SSGBLUP with 4 M genotyped animals

Dimensionality within each breed

	AY = 5k
	BS = 5k
Accuracy for cows - Protein	GU = 5k
	HO = 15k
	JE = 15k



ALL 45k = 45k core



Accuracy approximations

- Weights based on phenotypes and pedigrees
- Block sparse inversion with APY inverse



JOURNAL ARTICLE

Efficient approximation of reliabilities for singlestep genomic best linear unbiased predictor models with the Algorithm for Proven and Young \Im Matias Bermann Z, Daniela Lourenco, Ignacy Misztal

Journal of Animal Science, Volume 100, Issue 1, January 2022, skab353, https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skab353



 $diag(\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1})^{-1} = diag((\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1} + (\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{nc}(\mathbf{W}_{cc} + \mathbf{G}^{cc} - \mathbf{G}^{cn}(\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{nc})^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{nc}(\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1})$ $diag((\mathbf{W}_{cc} + \mathbf{G}^{cc} - \mathbf{G}^{cn}(\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1}\mathbf{G}^{nc})^{-1})$



GEBV are published with accuracy





Cesarani et al. (unpublished)



Protein yield



P-values for GWAS in (ss)GBLUP

$$pval_i = 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\left|\frac{\widehat{snp}_i}{sd(\widehat{snp}_i)}\right|\right)\right)$$
 (Chen et al., 2017)

Algorithm

- 1. Calculate PEV for GEBV
- 2. Convert GEBV to SNP effects
- 3. Convert PEV/GEBV to PEV/SNP

If $sd(\widehat{snp}_i)$ approximately constant, Manhattan plots based on $|\widehat{snp}_i|$ and $pval_i$ similar

Large data – PEV from accuracy approximations (Bermann et al., 2021)

Application example

- Post-weaning gain in American Angus
- 845,000 phenotypes
- 450,000 genotypes
- 1,570,000 animals in the pedigree
- ssGBLUP (50k genotyped animals) vs. APY-ssGBLUP (450k genotyped animals)
- We expect:

 \square

- Higher power
- Less noise

UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

• Less false-positives



Leite et al. (in progress)







Sequence data

- 207k pigs with sequence
- 5M pedigree
- 1.5M records
- Single and multi-breed



Jang et al. (under review)



Accuracy

With large data (ss)GBLUP accounts for QTN



- ~ 2Mb in cattle
- ~ 6Mb in pigs and chickens

Conclusions

- Genomic information has limited dimensionality in farm animals
 - About 15,000 in cattle
- Limits can be exploited without affecting accuracy for:
 - Genomic evaluation of any size
 - Accuracy approximation of any size
 - GWAS of any size
- Few associations found with large data



UGA AB&G team

