Expanding the capabilities of ssGWAS with p-values for large genotyped populations

Natalia Leite, Matias Bermann, Shogo Tsuruta, Ignacy Misztal, **Daniela Lourenco** August 31, 2023



UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

College of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences





Outline

- 1. Equivalence between BV and marker-effects models
- 2. Advantages of ssGWAS
- 3. The Algorithm for Proven and Young (APY)
- 4. Equivalence between BV and marker-effects models with APY
- 5. Theoretical and practical consequences
- 6. Application example
- 7. Concluding remarks



BV and marker-effects models

- Both models are equivalent under some assumptions
- Consequences:
 - Estimable functions are equal
 - SNP-effects can be back-solved from EBV
 - Perform GWAS from GBLUP
 - Choice of the most convenient model



Copyright © 2007 by the Genetics Society of America DOI: $10.1534/{\rm genetics}.107.081190$

The Impact of Genetic Relationship Information on Genome-Assisted Breeding Values

D. Habier,¹ R. L. Fernando and J. C. M. Dekkers

J. Dairy Sci. 92:2971–2975 doi:10.3168/jds.2008-1929 © American Dairy Science Association, 2009.

Technical note: Derivation of equivalent computing algorithms for genomic predictions and reliabilities of animal merit

I. Strandén*¹ and D. J. Garrick†‡



BV and marker-effects models

- $ssGBLUP \leftrightarrow ssBR \leftrightarrow ssSNP-BLUP$
- Consequences:
 - Estimable functions are equal
 - SNP-effects can be back-solved from GEBV
 - Indirect predictions for young-animals
 - Perform GWAS from ssGBLUP

J. Dairy Sci. 92:4656–4663 doi:10.3168/jds.2009-2061 © American Dairy Science Association, 2009.

A relationship matrix including full pedigree and genomic information

A. Legarra,^{*1} I. Aguilar,†‡ and I. Misztal† *INRA, UR631 SAGA, BP 52627, 32326 Castanet-Tolosan, France †Department of Animal and Dairy Science, University of Georgia, Athens 30602 ‡Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria, Las Brujas, Uruguay

Fernando et al. Genetics Selection Evolution 2014, **46**:50 http://www.gsejournal.org/content/46/50



RESEARCH

Open Access

A class of Bayesian methods to combine large numbers of genotyped and non-genotyped animals for whole-genome analyses

Rohan L Fernando^{1*}, Jack CM Dekkers¹ and Dorian J Garrick^{1,2}



J. Dairy Sci. 97:5833–5850 http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-7924 © American Dairy Science Association[®], 2014. Open access under <u>CC BY-NC-ND license</u>.

A single-step genomic model with direct estimation of marker effects

Z. Liu,*¹ M. E. Goddard,† F. Reinhardt,* and R. Reents* "Vereinigte Informationssysteme Tierhaltung w.V. (VIT), Heideweg 1, D-27283 Verden, Germany †Melboume School of Land and Environment, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia



Why ssGWAS?

- Why ssGWAS?
- Assumption of single-marker GWAS: Genotyped individuals have phenotypes
- Animal populations: genotypes and phenotypes may not be on the same individuals
 - Deregressed EBV: are biased

- ssGWAS
 - All data on genotyped and non-genotyped individuals
 - Multi-trait models to accommodate correlations

- Negative aspect of ssGWAS
 - Heavy computations for p-values -> same limitation as REML

GEORGIA The Algorithm for Proven and Young

- Genomic information is redundant
- Most of the variation in GRM is explained by a set of core (proven) individuals
- BV of noncore individuals are a linear combination of BV of core individuals plus an error term
- The noncore (young) individuals are conditionally dependent on the core



$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{APY}}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

GEORGIA Equivalence APY ssGBLUP – ssSNPBLUP



JOURNAL ARTICLE Indirect predictions with a large number of

genotyped animals using the algorithm for proven and young ∂ Andre L S Garcia ☎, Yutaka Masuda, Shogo Tsuruta, Stephen Miller, Ignacy Misztal, Daniela Lourenco

Journal of Animal Science, Volume 98, Issue 6, June 2020, skaa154, https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skaa154



- If using APY in ssGBLUP
 - Numerical equivalence
 - $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{Z}\hat{a}$
 - $\widehat{a}|\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = k\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{APY}}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$
 - Var $(\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}|\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}) = k\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1}(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{u}_2\mathbf{u}_2})\mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}k$

Function of all genotyped animals

Bermann et al. Genetics Selection Evolution (2022) 54:52 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-022-00741-7



RESEARCH ARTICLE



On the equivalence between marker effect models and breeding value models and direct genomic values with the Algorithm for Proven and Young

Matias Bermann^{1*}⁽¹⁾, Daniela Lourenco¹, Natalia S. Forneris^{2,3}, Andres Legarra⁴ and Ignacy Misztal¹

- If using APY in ssGBLUP
 - Equivalent APY ssSNPBLUP model
 - $\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} \widehat{a}$
 - $\widehat{a}|\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = k\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger'}\mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = k\mathbf{Z}_{c}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{c}$
 - Var $(\widehat{a}|\widehat{u}) = k\mathbf{Z}_{c}'\mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1} (\mathbf{G}_{cc} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{u}_{2_{c}}\mathbf{u}_{2_{c}}})\mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1}\mathbf{Z}_{c}k$



GEORGIA Equivalence APY ssGBLUP – ssSNPBLUP

- If using APY in ssGBLUP
 - Equivalent APY ssSNPBLUP model

• $\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}\widehat{a}$

• $\widehat{a}|\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = k\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger'}\mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = k\mathbf{Z}_{c}^{\prime}\mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{c}$

•
$$\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{a}|\widehat{u}) = k \mathbf{Z}_{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1} (\mathbf{G}_{cc} - \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{u}_{2_{c}}\mathbf{u}_{2_{c}}}) \mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{c} k$$

- Exact Inverse of the LHS of MME
- Approximating reliabilities of GEBV



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Bermann et al. Genetics Selection Evolution (2022) 54:52 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-022-00741-7

Open Acces

On the equivalence between marker effect models and breeding value models and direct genomic values with the Algorithm for Proven and Young

Matias Bermann^{1*}^(a), Daniela Lourenco¹, Natalia S. Forneris^{2,3}, Andres Legarra⁴ and Ignacy Misztal¹



GEBV are published with reliability

- Reliability based on PEV
 - Approximated for large populations
 - Weights based on approximations
 - Block sparse inversion with APY

JOURNAL ARTICLE

Efficient approximation of reliabilities for singlestep genomic best linear unbiased predictor models with the Algorithm for Proven and Young \Im Matias Bermann **X**, Daniela Lourenco, Ignacy Misztal

Journal of Animal Science, Volume 100, Issue 1, January 2022, skab353, https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skab353



 $diag (\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1})^{-1} = diag ((\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1} + (\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{nc} (\mathbf{W}_{cc} + \mathbf{G}^{cc} - \mathbf{G}^{cn} (\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{nc})^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{cn} (\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1}) diag ((\mathbf{W}_{cc} + \mathbf{G}^{cc} - \mathbf{G}^{cn} (\mathbf{W}_{nn} + \mathbf{M}_{nn}^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{nc})^{-1})$

Georgia Single-step GWAS – Many genotypes

- Genomic evaluation process
 - GEBV using APY ssGBLUP + reliability using block sparse inversion
 - $\widehat{a}|\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = k\mathbf{Z}_{c}'\mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_{c}$
 - $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{u}_{2_{c}}\mathbf{u}_{2_{c}}} \approx \left(\mathbf{W} + \frac{\sigma_{e}^{2}}{\sigma_{u}^{2}}\mathbf{G}_{APY}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$
 - $\operatorname{Var}(\widehat{a}|\widehat{u}) = k \mathbf{Z}_{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1} (\mathbf{G}_{cc} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{u}_{2c}\mathbf{u}_{2c}}) \mathbf{G}_{cc}^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_{c} k$

- Initial tests AGI data
 - 845k phenotypes for post-weaning gain
 - 50k genotyped + 1.58M pedigree
 - 450k genotyped (13k core + 437k noncore) + 1.8M pedigree



$$p\text{-value}_i = 2\left(1 - \Phi\left(\left|\frac{\hat{a}_i}{sd(\hat{a}_i)}\right|\right)\right)$$





Single-step GWAS – Scenarios





Single-step GWAS – Computations

Method	Elapsed time, h:min*	Peak memory, GB*
Exact - G^{-1} 50k	106:46	159.66
Exact - G_{APY}^{-1} 50k	110:59	178.30
Approx - G_{APY}^{-1} 50k	2:50	16.62

- Computing cost still high?
- Eliminates the limitation on the amount of data for ssGWAS



Take home messages

- ssGWAS allows for using all data and any model
 - Phenotypes
 - Pedigree
 - Genotypes
- Virtually any number of genotyped animals
 - Improvement in computing time and memory requirements
- Possible because of the limited dimensionality of genomic information
 - Depends on the quality of approximated reliabilities
 - Already implemented in BLUPF90



UGA AB&G team

